

1
2
3
4 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
5 **FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
6 **SACRAMENTO DIVISION**

7
8 **MARCUS R. ELLINGTON,**

9 Plaintiff,

10 **v.**

11 **E. S. ALAMEIDA, et al.,**

12 Defendants.

02:04-CV-0666 DFL KJM P

ORDER

13
14 On May 24, 2006, Defendants filed a request to act in excess of time, *nunc pro tunc*, to
15 respond to Plaintiff's interrogatories (Dr. Rohlfing), Plaintiff's First Set of Admissions (Dr.
16 Rohlfing) and Plaintiff's First Set of Requests for Production. They explain that Plaintiff
17 mistakenly included or otherwise attached the discovery as if exhibits to an opposition to an
18 earlier motion, rather than serving it separately.

19 **IT IS SO ORDERED.** Defendants are granted thirty days from the date of this order
20 to serve their responses to Plaintiff's discovery. Plaintiff is granted fifteen days from the receipt
21 of Defendants' discovery responses to address such discovery. For all other discovery matters,
22 June 16, 2006, shall remain the discovery deadline.

23 Dated: May 25, 2006.

24
25 
26 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
27
28